

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CHARLES LEE RANDOLPH,  
Petitioner,  
v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,  
Respondents.

Case No. 3:08-cv-00650-LRH-CLB

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
(ECF NO. 87)**

In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 179-day extension of time (ECF No. 81), and a 180-day extension of time (ECF No. 86), both of which were necessary because of delays caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the petitioner, Charles Lee Randolph, represented by appointed counsel, was due to file a second amended habeas petition by April 7, 2020.

On March 16, 2021, Randolph filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 87), requesting a further extension of time to October 4, 2021—a 180-day extension—to file his second amended petition. Randolph's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of delays in their investigation caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. The respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Randolph's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.

///

///

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered September 11, 2017 (ECF No. 36) will remain in effect.

021.



LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE